

From: [REDACTED]
To: [West Midlands Interchange](#)
Subject: Change of wording re rail hub
Date: 01 February 2020 04:53:56

It has been brought to my attention that the developers have asked for a wording amendment to their submission. You really cannot agree for their amendment of the word 'should' for the original word 'must' re the building of the railway hub.

The ONLY reason that this developer can ask for the green belt land use for commercial means is because it ties in with the 'railway' network. Lack of the 'must build a railway hub' completely negates any argument that they may possibly have for ruining our area, massively increasing local road traffic access to and from the hub and using green belt land for purely commercial gain.

Please reject the proposed new wording if you pass their plans, or better still, throw the whole proposal out. The main person proposing this has fought local regulations for decades in his effort to cash in on his ownership of this green belt land. His last resort was 'A RAILWAY HUB'. Without the railway, he's back to local planners/planning which has always ruled that his plans are not justified. Please agree with local planners and reject or otherwise INSIST on the railway component.

Thank you in anticipation that your department will recognise the developers subterfuge
CELIA STRICKLAND resident of Penkridge Staffs

Sent from my iPhone